



No Soitec Solar in Boulevard, CA

SOITEC SOLAR PEIR

I oppose all 4 Soitec Solar dual tracking Concentrated PV sites proposed for 1,500 acres of Boulevard CA, for the following reasons: lack of adequate information; violations of CEQA; lack of need for project; high cost and experimental nature of CPV; superior alternatives such as point of use generation in San Diego with up to 7,000 MW of capacity on existing structures and parking lots; alternative Imperial Valley site that has permits, has gen-tie lines in place, has survived lawsuits, and is supported by the Sierra Club and other big green groups; estimated use of 50 million gallons of drought stressed groundwater; environmentally, biologically, and culturally sensitive locations in fire and earthquake prone (7.8 in 1892), and groundwater dependent neighborhoods; impacts to Tule Creek and Walker Creek flood plains; cumulative adverse impacts to adjacent conserved and recreation lands in McCain Valley, Anza Borrego Desert State Park, Big Horn Sheep, Golden Eagles; projects within 100 feet of existing residences, wells and riparian areas; disproportionate impacts to predominantly low-income communities of Boulevard, Jacumba Hot Springs and Ejido Jardines Del Rincon; need for approximately 8 miles of new high voltage lines; project locations in Cal Fire designated Very High Fire Severity Zones & Wildfire Corridor that will increase fire risk, alter fire-fighting tactics, and can impact 17,000 people.

NAME: DIANE + GREG VERMILLEN

ADDRESS: 8946 CREEKFORD DR., LAKESIDE CA

PHONE OR E-MAIL: _____



Robert Hingtgn, Planner
Planning and Development Service
5510 Overland Ave, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 91905

RECEIVED
JAN 12 2015
Planning and
Development Services